

**CITATION (1994) 10 KLR (PT. 22)**

**ISSN 1117 - 0530**

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS (ALL SC)**

**VOLUME 10 1994 PART 22**

**10TH JUNE 1994**

**CHIEF EDITOR**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. (BARRISTER & SOLICITOR)**

**DEDICATED TO:**

**The King of kings**

**All Lovers of Justice.**

**All that are thirst of current knowledge of the Law**

**ii      INDEX OF CASES REPORTED IN (1994) 10 KLR PT 22**

|   |      |
|---|------|
| 1. Lamidi Lawal Obawole & Another       |      |
| v.                                      |      |
| Olusoji Coker & Ors.                    | P.1  |
| 2. Gabriel Erim                         |      |
| v.                                      |      |
| The State                               | P.30 |
| 3. Himma Merchants Limited              |      |
| v.                                      |      |
| Alhaji Inuwa Aliyu                      | P.50 |
| 4. John Eboigbe                         |      |
| v.                                      |      |
| Nigerian National Petrolewn Corporation | P.68 |
| 5. Lawani Adesokan & Ors.               |      |
| v.                                      |      |
| Sunday Adetunji & Ors.                  | P.85 |

**SUPREME COURT JUSTICES**

Hon. Justice Mohammed Bello CJN  
Hon. Justice Muhammadu Lawal Uwais  
Hon. Justice Salihu Modibbo Alfa Belgore  
Hon. Justice Abubakar Bashir Wali  
Hon. Justice Olajide Olatawura  
Hon. Justice Idris Legbo Kutigi  
Hon. Justice Michael Ekuodayo Ogundare  
Hon. Justice Emmanuel Obioma Ogwuegbu  
Hon. Justice Uthman Mohammed  
Hon. Justice Sylvester Umaru Oou  
Hon. Justice Yekini Olayiwola Adio  
Hon. Justice Anthony Ikechukwu Iguh

**ACTIONS** - Non-maintainable actions - Limitation of actions - Where an action is commenced after the limitation period - The action is not maintainable. *Ehoighe v. NNPC*. P.68

**APPEALS** - A ward of interest by trial court - Contrary to the provision of Court Rules - Whether Court of Appeal rightly affirmed the decision. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

**APPEALS** - Conflicting precedents - Where Court of Appeal cannot decide the matter before it without a consideration of the conflicts - Whether such consideration was wrong. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

**APPEALS** - Raising of new issues - That were never raised before the Court of Appeal - Where no leave was obtained - Whether the new issues should be struck out. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.8S

**CONFLICT OF LAWS** - Applicable statute - Limitation of actions - Conflicting provisions of the state law and the NNPC Act - Which of the statutes is applicable. *Eboigbe v. NNPC*. P. 68

**COURTS** - Discretion - Payable interest on judgment debt - Under Rules of Court - Circumscribed by the Rule itself stipulating 10% per annum from date of judgment - Whether higher rate of interest can be granted antedating the judgment. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P., 50

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Conspiracy - Where conviction on some counts of the information were set aside - Whether to be a basis for not upholding conviction for conspiracy. *Erim v. The State*. P.30

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Inconsistency of a verdict - Trial for conspiracy together with that for alleged substantive offences - Whether a verdict of guilty on conspiracy count and not guilty on Substantive offence or vice versa - Is necessarily inconsistent. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Conspiracy - Trial for conspiracy and Substantive offence - How actual fact of conspiracy is deduced. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Conspiracy, stealing and forgery offences - Evidence - Whether appellant's conviction was supported by evidence. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

CRIMINAL LAW - Conspiracy to steal Overwhelming evidence against the appellant - Where the crime could not have been committed without his assistance - Whether appellant acted in pursuance of a common criminal purpose together with other accused persons. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

B

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Mistake of lower courts - Conspiracy to steal from a bank - Overwhelming evidence against the convicted appellants bank manager - Whether there are any mistakes of the lower courts that occasioned miscarriage of justice. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

C

EVIDENCE - Declaration of ownership - Land Law - Title traced to plaintiff's mother - What the plaintiff must prove - In order to succeed. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

D EVIDENCE - Evidence of a witness in previous proceedings - Is generally not relevant in a later trial - Save for purposes of discrediting such witness on cross examination - Evidence of admissions against interest in a previous case - whether relevant and admissible. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

E EVIDENCE - Inference of guilt - Conspiracy - Proof thereof is generally a matter of inference - Whether there are circumstances from which appellants involvement can be inferred. *Erim v. The State*. P. 30

F EVIDENCE - Rate of interest - Claim for 20% interest per month on the balance amount accruing to plaintiff - In respect of lease of his property to the defendant - Where there is no evidence about rate or computation of interest agreed upon by the parties - Whether the interest claimed ought to be awarded. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

G JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Inapplicable precedents - Cases relied upon by the appellant - When declared not binding on the Supreme Court. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

H JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Obiter dictum - Pronouncement made in an earlier decision of the Supreme Court - That is found to be Obiter dictum - Whether a binding authority on any court. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Ratio decidendi - Statements by Justices of the

Supreme Court in a past decision - That constitute the reasoning or principle for the decision - Is binding on all courts. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

JURISDICTION - Interest on judgment debt - Award of interest in a manner not provided for under the relevant rule - Whether the Court has jurisdiction. *B Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

LAND LAW - Admission by plaintiff - That the land in dispute originally belonged to the defendants - Save plaintiff can show that defendants' family has divested itself of title - Defendants' counterclaim would be unimpeachable. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

LAND LAW - Claim of ownership - Based on alleged purchase by plaintiff's mother - Failure to establish the claim - Court of Appeal should not have found for the plaintiff. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

**D**

LAND LAW - Declaration of ownership - Based on long possession - Is to be proved by acts of ownership - But where plaintiff traces title to his mother - It is sufficient that he proves purchase from the original true owners. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

**E**

LAND LAW - Variation in description of land on two different documents relied upon by the plaintiff - As to the number of acres - whether the two lands are the same. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

**F**

LAND LAW - Ownership - Failure to prove any grant by the original owners - Title still remains in the said original owners. *Obawole v. Coker*. P.1

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Application to dismiss an action for being statute barred - Where the action was commenced after 6 years - When two separate statutes relied upon specified 1 year and 6 years limitation period respectively - The action is statute barred prima facie. *Eboigbe v. NNPC*. P.68

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Plaintiff who might have a cause of action - H  
Loses the right to enforce it - Where the action is statute barred. *Eboigbe v. NNPC*. P.68

**vi      INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1994) 10 KLR PT 22**

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Time begins to run from accrual of cause of action - Where 12 months limitation period is prescribed under the Act - Need to commence action within that period. *Ehoigbe v. NNPC*. P. 68

LOCUS STANDI - Want of Locus Standi - Where the trial court dismissed an action for want of Locus - Whether an order for striking out should be substituted. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Discretion of courts - To dismiss an action under the Rules - Upon the raising of point of law - Where lack of locus standi is established - Whether the court should dismiss or strike out the action. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Interest on a sum of money claimed as debt - Two legal ways by which such claim of interest will arise - Where there is no rationale for the claim of interest - It ought to be disallowed. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Locus Standi - At whatever stage plaintiff is found to lack locus - Court's jurisdiction is affected - Striking out the action is the course open to the Court. *Adesokan v. Adetunji*. P.85

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Running of period of limitation of an action - Negotiation between the parties - Whether period of limitation ceases to run by virtue of the negotiation. *Eboigbe v. NNPC*. P. 68

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Un defended list - Claim for interest on a debt by mere assertion - Whether burden of proof was fully discharged by plaintiff. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

RULES OF COURT - Interest on judgment debt - 0.40 r. 7 Bauchi State High Court Rules - That provided for applicable rate of interest - Whether the court has discretion to exceed the prescribed rate of interest. *Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu*. P. 50

STATUTES - Limitation of actions - Provisions of the Bendel State Law and the NNPC Act thereon - Properly analysed. *Eboigbe v. NNPC*. P. 68

TORTS - Damage to crops - Claim for N200,000.00 special and general damages - Where the action is statute barred - Whether it is maintainable.

Eboigbe v. NNPC. P. 68

WORDS & PHRASES - “Notwithstanding anything in any other enactment”  
- Used in s.11 (1) of the NNPC Act - In relation to limitation of actions -  
Whether any other Law or Act can prevent time from running during negotia-  
tions. Eboighe v. NNPC. P. 68

B

C

D

E

F

G

H

**viii     INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (1994) 10 KLR PT 22**

Bauchi State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 0.23 rr, 3 & 4, 0.40 r.7, 0.23 r.I.  
Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu P.50

Bendel State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 0.13 r.19. Eboigbe v. NNPC  
P.68

Bendel State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 0.13 r.19. Eboigbe v. NNPC  
P.68

C Criminal Code ss. 518(0, 467, 390(9), 107 (I), 7. Erim v. The State. P.30

Evidence Act s. 150. Eboigbe v. NNPC ·P.68

D High Court of Oyo State (Civil Procedure) Rules 0.24 rr, 1,2,3 &4. Adesokan v.  
4.detunji. P.85

Lagos High Court Rules 0.22 r.4. Adesokan v. Adetunji P.85

E Limitation Law Cap 89 Laws of Bendel State 1,976 ss.4(I)(a), 25 (c), 28, 21.  
Eboigbe v. NNPC P.68

Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation Act No.33 of 1977 s.11 (I) & (2);  
Cap.320 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990 s.12(1) & 2 Eboigbe v. NNPC.  
P. 68

F Plateau State High Court (Civil Procedure Rules) 0.23 r.8. Himma Merchants  
Ltd. v. Aliyu P.50

Public Authorities Protection Act 1893 s.1(a). Eboigbe v. NNPC P.68

G Public Officers Protection Ordinance (Act) Cap. 168 s.2. Eboigbe v. NNPC.  
P.68

H Supreme Court Rules 0.6 r, 8(1). Himma Merchants Ltd. v. Aliyu. 2P.50; 19850.6  
r.5(4) & r.2. Adesokan v. Adetunji. P.85  
(2). Eboigbe v. NNPC. P.68